

SCREENING PRO FORMA

Section	Economic Development: Property Services		Officer responsible for the screening/scoping	Louise Baxter Chris Mansfield	
Name of Project to be assessed	Bellfields Youth and Community Centre – Entrance Extension	Date of Assessment	20/06/2010	Is this a proposed new or existing policy/procedure/practice/project?	This is a new project
1. Briefly describe the aims, objectives and purpose of the policy/procedure/practice/project?	<p>This project comprises the demolition of the existing entrance to Bellfields Youth and Community Centre, which is suffering from structural problems, and the re-building of a new entrance with an accessible wetroom.</p> <p>This project is being jointly funded by Disability Challengers (through SCC grant funding) and Guildford Borough Council. Guildford Borough Council is managing the project.</p>				
2. Are there any associated or specific objectives of the policy/procedure/practice/project? Please explain.	To comply with Surrey County Council's Aiming High grant funding requirements (this funding has been granted to our partners, Disability Challengers)				
3. Who is intended to benefit from this policy and in what way?	All users of the Community Centre, particularly Disability Challengers, who currently have inadequate changing facilities for the young disabled people who use their service.				
4. What outcomes are wanted from this policy/procedures/practice?	To ensure that this construction project has the potential to benefit all members of the community, without exception.				
5. What factors/forces could contribute/detract from the outcomes?	Loss of grant funding from Surrey County Council may mean that structural repairs would need to be undertaken to the existing entrance, rather than the building of a new entrance and accessible wetroom.				
6. Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy / project?	Disability Challengers, Surrey County Council, GBC Councillors, GBC Officers, members of the Bellfields community.				
7. Who implements the policy / project, and who is responsible for the policy / project?	Guildford Borough Council Property Services are managing this partnership project, although it is jointly funded.				
8. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact on racial groups?	N		All racial groups will be equally welcome to use the new entrance. Those with disabilities will be able to access and use the wet room facility.		
What existing evidence (either presumed or	Whilst the project specifically aims to benefit young people with disabilities and their carers, there is no evidence to suggest that there will be a differential impact on any racial				

<p>otherwise) do you have for this?</p>	<p>groups.</p>	
<p>9. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to gender?</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>All genders will be equally welcome to use the new entrance. Those with disabilities will be able to access and use the wet room facility.</p>
<p>What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?</p>	<p>Whilst the project specifically aims to benefit young people with disabilities and their carers, there is no evidence to suggest that there will be a differential impact on either gender.</p>	
<p>10. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to disability?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>The project aims specifically to benefit young people with disabilities by providing improved facilities. Only disabled users of Bellfields Youth and Community Centre will be able to use the accessible wet room, which will be designed particularly to meet the needs of people with complex disabilities.</p>
<p>What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?</p>	<p>The only differential impact on people with disabilities is to those aged over 18, as there are no specific services run from the Centre for them. However if they were to join an existing group, for example the Barber Shop Choir, then they would be able to use the accessible facilities.</p>	
<p>11. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to sexual orientation?</p>	<p>N</p>	<p>All people will be equally welcome to use the new entrance regardless of sexual orientation. Those with disabilities will be able to access and use the wet room facility.</p>
<p>What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?</p>	<p>Whilst the project specifically aims to benefit young people with disabilities and their carers, there is no evidence to suggest that there will be a differential impact due to sexual orientation.</p>	
<p>12. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their age?</p>	<p>Y</p>	<p>The project aims specifically to benefit young people with disabilities aged 4 – 18 by providing improved facilities. Only disabled users of Bellfields Youth and Community Centre will be able to use the accessible wet room, which will be designed particularly to meet the needs of people with complex disabilities. There is a differential impact on those aged over 18 years however as Disability Challengers only run schemes for children and young adults from Bellfields Youth and Community Centre. The new facilities would be suitable for people of any age with disabilities.</p>
<p>What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?</p>	<p>Disability Challengers only run schemes for children and young adults from Bellfields Youth and Community Centre.</p>	

<p>13. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their religious belief?</p>		<p>N</p>	<p>All people will be equally welcome to use the new entrance regardless of religion or belief. Those with disabilities will be able to access and use the wet room facility.</p>
<p>What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?</p>	<p>Whilst the project specifically aims to benefit young people with disabilities and their carers, there is no evidence to suggest that there will be a differential impact due to religion or belief.</p>		
<p>14. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them having dependants/caring responsibilities?</p>		<p>N</p>	<p>The project specifically aims to benefit young people with disabilities and their carers.</p>
<p>What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?</p>	<p>It is anticipated that new accessible wet room will allow Disability Challengers to care for children with a greater range of complex disabilities. This will increase the availability of suitable care for these children, and will therefore provide more respite opportunities for their carers.</p>		
<p>15. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them have an offending past?</p>		<p>N</p>	<p>All people will be equally welcome to use the new entrance. Those with disabilities will be able to access and use the wet room facility.</p>
<p>What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?</p>	<p>Whilst the project specifically aims to benefit young people with disabilities and their carers, there is no evidence to suggest that there will be a differential impact due to having an offending past.</p>		
<p>16. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them being Transgender or transsexual?</p>		<p>N</p>	<p>All people will be equally welcome to use the new entrance. Those with disabilities will be able to access and use the wetroom facility.</p>
<p>What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?</p>	<p>Whilst the project specifically aims to benefit young people with disabilities and their carers, there is no evidence to suggest that there will be a differential impact due to being transgender or transsexual.</p>		
<p>17. Could the differential impact identified in 8-16 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this policy/procedure/practice/project?</p>		<p>N</p>	<p>The differential impacts identified relate to the age of service users as Disability Challengers only run schemes for children and young people between the ages of 4 and 18. This does not cause an adverse impact.</p>

<p>18. Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting equality of opportunity for one group? Or any other reason?</p>		<p>N/A</p>																						
<p>Business improvement 19. Is there any concern that there are unmet needs in relation to any of the above groups?</p>		<p>N</p>																						
<p>20. Does differential impact or unmet need cut across the equality strands (e.g. elder BME groups)?</p>		<p>N</p>																						
<p>21. If yes, should the full EIA be conducted jointly with another service area/contractor/partner/agency?</p>		<p>N</p>																						
<p>22. Is there a missed opportunity to improve your business in relation to any of the policies, procedures or practices to promote racial, gender, disability, age, sexual orientation, religion or belief equality?</p>		<p>N</p>																						
<p>23. Should the policy proceed to a full equality impact assessment?</p>		<p>N</p>	<p>0 – no possible relevance or adverse impact 1 – extremely low relevance and adverse impact 2 – relatively low relevance and adverse impact 3 – medium relevance and adverse impact 4 – relatively high relevance and adverse impact 0–8 points low adverse impact, no need for full EIA 9–17 points medium adverse impact, full EIA required 18–24 points high adverse impact, full EIA required</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1113 1225 2132 1380"> <thead> <tr> <th>Age</th> <th>Disability</th> <th>Gender</th> <th>Race</th> <th>Sexuality</th> <th>Religion</th> <th>Total</th> <th>Impact</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>LOW</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						Age	Disability	Gender	Race	Sexuality	Religion	Total	Impact	2	2	0	0	0	0	4	LOW
Age	Disability	Gender	Race	Sexuality	Religion	Total	Impact																	
2	2	0	0	0	0	4	LOW																	

24. If No, are there any changes required to the policy to improve it around the equality agenda?	It would be beneficial to market the building to adult groups serving those with disabilities, to maximise the use of the new facility.
--	---

Signed

(completing officer)

Date 28/6/10

Signed

(Head of Section)

Date 28/6/10

Countersigned

(member of Equality Action Group)

Date 02/7/10