Screening/Scoping Pro Forma

Section		Iltural Services rategy & Development				r responsible for reening/scopin		Mike Beckwith						
Name of Policy to Bookings for activities, program						Date of 7/8/08 Assessment		8 Is this a proposed new or existing existi						
be assessed and events								policy/procedure/practice?		ocedure/practice?				
1. Briefly des of the policy/			s, objectives and purpose actice?			te customers in s, sports and pla				vities provided and/or booked ervice.	d through			
2. Are there any associated or specific objectives of the policy/procedure/practice? Please explain.					Provide a range of options for booking activities and programmes. Encourage participation from a wide range of individuals often from areas of deprivation and target groups from within the Borough.									
3. Who is intended to benefit from this policy and in what way?					Public, staff, participants and Council. By having easy access to book a range of activities benefiting the individual and the community through personal development, health and wellbeing.									
4. What outcomes are wanted from this policy/procedures/practice?					Ease of access for bookings and a high participation rate									
5. What factors/forces could contribute/detract from the outcomes?				Resources and marketing										
the outcomes	s?													
6. Who are th stakeholders to the policy?	e maii in rela		See Q3			7. W polic	ho imp y, and onsibl	who		Development Officers				
6. Who are th stakeholders to the policy? 8. Are there c differential in	e maii in rela ? concer	ation ns that on racia	the policy <u>could</u> have a al groups?		N	7. W polic resp polic Please explain activities are ta	ho imp cy, and onsible cy? n Acce argeted	l who e for t ss to b partic	is he bookings a cipants wou	vailable to all racial groups. E Id not be segregated by race	Э			
6. Who are th stakeholders to the policy? 8. Are there c differential in	e maii in rela concer npact o g evide	ns that on racia	the policy <u>could</u> have a al groups? ither presumed or	Tel	N leph	7. W polic resp polic Please explain activities are ta one and written	ho imp cy, and onsible cy? n Acce argeted bookin	e for t ss to b partic gs are	is he pookings a sipants wou always av	vailable to all racial groups. E	e e desirable			
6. Who are th stakeholders to the policy? 8. Are there c differential in What existing otherwise) do	e main in rela concer npact o g evide o you l concer	ation ns that on racia ence (e nave fo ns that	the policy <u>could</u> have a al groups? ither presumed or r this? the policy <u>could</u> have a	Tel	N leph	7. W polic resp polic Please explain activities are ta one and written always possible Bookings are g	ho imp cy, and onsible cy? n Acce argeted bookin currer	l who e for t ss to k l partic gs are ntly du ly ope	is he pookings at pipants wou always av e to lack o n to all, ho	vailable to all racial groups. E Ild not be segregated by race vailable, internet bookings are	e e desirable system.			

otherwise) do you have for this?			
10. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to disability?		N	As Q9 – appropriate support is available for those who are blind/partially sighted
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			
11. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to sexual orientation?		Ν	As Q9
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			
12. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their age?		N	As Q9
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			
13. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their religious belief?		N	As Q8
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?			
14. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them having dependants/caring responsibilities?		Ν	As Q9
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	L		·
15. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a		Ν	No knowledge of offences would be known

differential impact due to them have an offending past?											
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?											
16. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them being Transgender or transsexual?					N	N As Q9					
What existing evidence (either presum otherwise) do you have for this?	ned	or									
17. Could the differential impact identified in 8-16 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this policy/procedure/practice?Please any grownN					olain	in Booking systems available to cater for needs without an adverse impact on					
						in for each heading (questions 8-16) on a separate piece of paper. Not a ne booking procedure. Some of the events/activities need to be group specific.					
Business improvement 19. Is there any concern that there are unmet needs in relation to any of the above groups?	nprovementpossibilityany concern that thereNneeds in relation to any of proups?N				e explain Internet booking would be an advantage and will be introduced when ole, but there is no concern from the point of excluding any groups due to this ilability.						
20. Does differential impact or unmet need cut across the equality strands (e.g. elder BME groups)?			exp	olain	in						

21. If yes, should the full EIA be conducted jointly with another service area/contractor/partner/agency?	Y	N	Please explain N/A
22. Is there a missed opportunity to improve your business in relation to any of the policies, procedures or practices to promote racial, gender, disability, age, sexual orientation, religion or belief equality?			Not as far as bookings are concerned

		Yes	No
	24. If No, are there any changes required to the policy to improve it are the equality agenda? Ensuring that the booking processes do not present barriers for disabled pe		

Signed (completing officer) Date August 2008

Signed (Head of Section) Date

Countersigned (Corporate Diversity/Diversity/Policy Team)

Date September 2008