/Scoping Pro Forma

Section	Com	munica	unications Services/Web Team			Officer responsible for the screening/scoping				Holly Ellis – Web Programme Manager			
Name of Policy to Provision of online information and services				Date of Assessr	nent	3 July ent 2008			oroposed new or existing ocedure/practice?	existing			
1. Briefly describe the aims, objectives and purpose of the policy/procedure/practice?													
2. Are there any associated or specific objectives of the policy/procedure/practice? Please explain.				To: - promote the Council's services, - improve internal business processes, - efficiency savings.									
3. Who is intended to benefit from this policy and in what way?					- The general public All Council staff.								
4. What outcomes are wanted from this policy/procedures/practice?					<ul> <li>-0 High take-up of online service.</li> <li>-1 More informed general public.</li> <li>-2 Increased customer satisfaction.</li> <li>-3 Efficiency savings.</li> </ul>								
5. What factors/forces could contribute/detract from the outcomes?				<ul> <li>Resource required to deliver online information and services</li> <li>Cross council buy-in</li> <li>Technology - legacy issues</li> </ul>									
6. Who are the stakeholders to the policy?	in rela		The general public All Council staff				7. W polic	ho imp y, and onsibl	l who		Web team, Web Programm Manager	ie	
8. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact on racial groups?			Y	N	No. Whilst online content is only available in English there have been no requests for information in other languages on the Guildford Borough Council website.								

What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	There have been no comments or complaints about online content and services having a differential impact due to racial group and our research shows that Guildford Borough does not have a significant proportion of residents who do not speak English and we have had no requests for online content in different languages.  Website content is produced across the Council, and often is originally produced for a purpose other than the website. The website itself is simply the tool that enables its online publication. The web team moderates all content so would be able to recognise any obvious information that could potentially have a 'differential impact' on the groups listed in 8-16 but are not ultimately responsible for the information or the actual service that is being provided and it is envisaged that assessments for these would be carried out by the information or service 'owner'. Items 9-16 are answered based on providing the online service and the functionality of the website, not the information or service itself.								
9. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to gender?	Y	N	No.						
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	There have been no comments or complaints about online content or services having a differential impact due to gender.  Also see 8 above.								
10. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to disability?	Y	N							
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	An assessment by the RNIB (Royal National Institute for the Blind), an assessment by SOCITM (Society of IT Management) and some usability and accessibility testing.								
11. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to sexual orientation?	Y	N	No.						

What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?  12. Are there concerns that the policy could have a differential impact due to their age?  What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	There have been no comments or complaints about online content or services having a differential impact due to sexual orientation.  Also see 8 above.  Y N No. There are several sections of the website that pull together information and services that are specific to different age groups. In particular 'services for older people' and a 'young people' section, both of which are well used.  There have been no comments or complaints about online content or services having a differential impact due to age and our website statistics show that the sections					
	mentioned above are well used.  Also see 8 above.					
13. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to their religious belief?	Y N No.					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	There have been no comments or complaints about online content or services having a differential impact due to religious belief.  Also see 8 above.					
14. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them having dependants/caring responsibilities?	Y N No.					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	There have been no comments or complaints about online content or services having a differential impact due to someone having dependants/caring responsibilities.  Also see 8 above.					
15. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to them have an offending past?	Y N No					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	There have been no comments or complaints about online content or services having a differential impact due to someone having offended in the past.  Also see 8 above.					

16. Are there concerns that the policy differential impact due to them being or transsexual?					N	No.					
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?						There have been no comments or complaints about online content or services having a differential impact due to someone being transgender or transsexual.  Also see 8 above.					
17. Could the differential impact identified in 8-16 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this policy/procedure/practice?			The only a disabled p has been languages not have a requests f touches of	The only area that we see amounts to the potential for adverse impact is the affect on disabled people. As mentioned above, a project to address the accessibility of the website has been agreed. We have also given consideration to providing online content in other languages but again as mentioned above our research shows that Guildford Borough does not have a significant proportion of residents who do not speak English and we have had no requests for online content in different languages. There is information on the website that touches on items 8-16, in particular the following sections for 'older people', 'young people', 'health, help and support'.							
·				pla	ain	for each heading (questions 8-16) on a separate piece of paper.					
Business improvement  19. Is there any concern that there are unmet needs in relation to any of the above groups?	Υ	N	Yes in terr other area		of	the accessibility issues detailed above. There are no concerns regarding the					
20. Does differential impact or unmet need cut across the equality strands (e.g. elder BME groups)?	Υ	N	Please ex No.	pla	ain						
21. If yes, should the full EIA be conducted jointly with another service area/contractor/partner/agency?	Υ	N	Please ex No.	pla	ain						

22. Is there a missed opportunity to improve your business in relation to any of the policies, procedures or practices to promote racial, gender, disability, age, sexual orientation, religion or belief equality?	Y	The work that will be undertaken to improve the accessibility of the website the platform that the website is delivered on and provide the opportunity to transactional online services which will ultimately benefit all customers.		
23. Should the policy proceed to full equality impact assessment?		Possibly		
			Yes	No
24. If No, are there any changes required to the policy to improve it around the equality agenda?	Y	Yes – the compliance with accessibility standards (W3C Double –A) as de above.	tailed	

Signed (completing officer)	Date	July 2008
Signed (Head of Section)	Date	
Countersigned (HR representative)	Date	September 2008